



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

11

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,514	10/25/2001	Rebecca Ann Frana-Guthrie	0212-0001	1677

33297 7590 11/20/2003

BEEM PATENT LAW FIRM
53 W. JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 1352
CHICAGO, IL 60604-3787

EXAMINER

CIRIC, LJILJANA V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3753

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
10/053,514

Applicant(s)
Frana-Guthrie et al.

Examiner
Ljiljana V. Ciric

Art Unit
3753



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ljiljana V. Ciric (3) _____
(2) Michael R. Mischnick, Reg. No. 52,036 (4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 19, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1-13

Identification of prior art discussed:
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney Mischnick telephoned Examiner Ciric to more fully discuss various issues raised by the previous Office action (Paper No. 12). In particular, Attorney Mischnick and Examiner Ciric discussed the various objections and rejections as recited in the previous Office action relating to new matter in the drawings and in the claims. A preliminary agreement was reached ONLY relating to necessary corrections to the drawings (i.e., Figures 1 and 3). Examiner Ciric recommended that applicants state for the record their arguments relating to their interpretation of the term "perimeter" as used in the application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

LJILJANA V. CIRIC
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3753

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required